Acta Crystallographica Section C Crystal Structure Communications

ISSN 0108-2701

Two new phosphate langbeinites, Rb₂YbTi(PO₄)₃ and Rb₂Yb_{0.32}Ti_{1.68}-(PO₄)₃, investigated at 293 and 150 K

Joacim C. M. Gustafsson,^a* Stefan T. Norberg,^b Göran Svensson^a and Jörgen Albertsson^a

^aDepartment of Materials and Surface Chemistry, Solid State Chemistry, Chalmers University of Technology, SE-412 96 Göteborg, Sweden, and ^bCeramics Research Laboratory, Tajimi, 507-0071 Gifu, Japan Correspondence e-mail: jogu@chem.chalmers.se

Received 4 June 2004 Accepted 23 November 2004 Online 15 January 2005

The rubidium ytterbium titanium phosphates $Rb_2YbTi(PO_4)_3$, (I), and $Rb_2Yb_{0.32}Ti_{1.68}(PO_4)_3$, (II), have been structurally characterized from X-ray data collected at both 293 and 150 K. Compound (II) is blue owing to the presence of mixedvalence titanium (41% $Ti^{3+} + 59\% Ti^{4+}$). Both (I) and (II) belong to the langbeinite structure type, with mixed Yb/Ti populations in the two crystallographically independent octahedral sites (of symmetry 3). Ytterbium favours one of these sites, where about two-thirds of the Yb atoms are found. The O-atom displacement parameters are large in both compounds at both temperatures.

Comment

KTP (KTiOPO₄) and its analogues RTP (RbTiOPO₄) and CTA (CsTiOAsO₄) are well known ferroelectric materials with excellent non-linear optical properties (Satyanarayan et al., 1999). The optical properties of these compounds can be changed by modifying the composition, for example, by replacing some of the titanium in RTP by ytterbium. In an attempt to prepare ytterbium-doped RTP by adding Yb₂O₃ to the flux, crystals of RTP were grown. Simultaneously, well shaped colourless tetrahedral crystals were found, a small number of them showing a blue colour. Upon analysis, these crystals were found to belong to the langbeinite structure type. All examined crystals from the same growth experiment had one of two compositions, viz. Rb₂YbTi(PO₄)₃ (colourless), (I), or Rb₂Yb_{0.32}Ti_{1.68}(PO₄)₃ (blue), (II). Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) indicated a phase transition at 183 K for (I). We present here a detailed crystallographic investigation of the two new phosphate langbeinites at two different temperatures.

The title compounds belong to the large group of synthetic $A_x M_2(XO_4)_3$ compounds named after the mineral langbeinite, *viz.* K₂Mg₂(SO₄)₃ (Zemann & Zemann, 1957). Langbeinite compounds have attracted a significant amount of interest

because of their ferroelectric and ferroelastic behaviour, for example, $(NH_4)_2Cd_2(SO_4)_3$ (Jona & Pepinsky, 1956), $Tl_2Cd_2(SO_4)_3$ (Brezina & Glogarova, 1972) and $K_2Cd_2(SO_4)_3$ (Abrahams & Bernstein, 1977). All known langbeinites crystallize in a common high-temperature cubic phase, in space group $P2_13$. Below room temperature, most of them undergo one or several phase transitions, with two possible transitions paths. The first path includes several steps from $P2_13$ to the final orthorhombic space group $P2_12_12_1$, as exemplified by the phase transitions of $Tl_2Cd_2(SO_4)_3$ (Brezina & Glogarova, 1972; Guelylah *et al.*, 2000): $P2_13$ (RT) $\rightarrow P2_1$ (128 K) \rightarrow P1 (119 K) $\rightarrow P2_12_12_1$ (98 K).

In the second path, the transformation proceeds directly from the high-temperature $P2_13$ phase to the orthorhombic low-temperature $P2_12_12_1$ phase. Several different mechanisms for these transitions have been suggested (*e.g.* Percival *et al.*, 1989; Moriyoshi *et al.*, 1996).

Phosphate langbeinites containing titanium form a small group of compounds, including $KTi_2(PO_4)_3$, $K_2Ti_2(PO_4)_3$, $K_{1+x}Ti_{2-y}Al_y(PO_4)_3$, $K_2MTi(PO_4)_3$ (M = Er, Yb or Y) and Rb₂ErTi(PO₄)₃ (Masse *et al.*, 1972; Leclaire *et al.*, 1989; Slobodyanik *et al.*, 1991; Norberg, 2002; Carvajal *et al.*, 2003). Some of these compounds contain mixed-valence octahedral cations, such as Ti³⁺/Ti⁴⁺ in K₂Ti₂(PO₄)₃. This is also the case for the present compound (II). The small number of phosphate langbeinites is a result of the fact that most $A_xM_2(PO_4)_3$ compounds tend to crystallize in the closely related nasicon structure, Na₃Zr₂(PO₄)(SiO₄)₂ (Sljukic *et al.*, 1967; von Alpen *et al.*, 1979). There are also examples of the same compound crystallizing in both the langbeinite and the nasicon structure (Masse *et al.*, 1972). One important difference between the two structures is that, in langbeinites, the alkali cations are



Figure 1 A polyhedral view of the Rb₂YbTi(PO₄)₃ structure along (111).

located in cages, while in nasicon, they are found in tunnels. The langbeinite framework is built of MO_6 octahedra sharing corners with PO_4 tetrahedra (Fig. 1). An alternative description of the framework is based on $[M_2X_3O_{18}]$ units composed of two MO_6 octahedra linked together by three XO_4 tetrahedra. Another description based on $M_5X_6O_{39}$ units, which has recently been presented by Norberg (2002), gives an improved visualization of the cages and tunnels formed in langbeinite and nasicon, respectively. An alternative description of the langbeinite structure, in terms of packed (111) rods, has been given by O'Keeffe & Andersson (1977).

Within the langbeinite framework, large cages are formed, in which the alkali cations are located. Each cage contains two Rb^+ ions separated by 3.917 (1) and 3.932 (2) Å at room temperature in (I) and (II), respectively. These distances are longer than the sum of the ionic radii for nine-coordinate Rb⁺ ions (3.38 A; Shannon, 1976). The cages have a volume of approximately 5 \times 5 \times 11 Å and are isolated from one another, making langbeinites poor ionic conductors. The Rb1⁺ and Rb2⁺ cations are best described as 12- and nine-coordinate, respectively. Atom Rb1 can also be described as being surrounded by four MO₆ octahedra in a tetrahedral arrangement, while atom Rb2 is surrounded by six MO₆ octahedra in an octahedral geometry. These large polyhedra are regular and share one triangular face to form a cage of seven MO_6 octahedra about two Rb^+ ions (Fig. 2). The Rb-M distances in the cage are in the range 3.85–3.97 Å. The arrangement of the seven MO₆ octahedra around the Rb atoms results in an opening in the cage located opposite the tetrahedron. This opening is closed by an eighth octahedron, at an M-Rbdistance of about 5.15 Å for both compounds at both temperatures. Thus, the two Rb atoms are located at one end of the cage with room to accommodate another small cation in the empty part of the cage (Fig. 2). To the best of our knowledge, no langbeinite structure has yet been found in which all three positions are occupied. Bond-valence sums



Figure 2

A polyhedral view of $Rb_2YbTi(PO_4)_3$, with phosphate groups omitted for clarity. The cage formed by the MO_6 octahedra around the Rb^+ cations (black spheres) is outlined. A third possible cation site (white sphere) is indicated.

(Brown, 1981; Brown & Altermatt, 1985) for (I) and (II) have been calculated (Table 2). The difference between the two Rb sites reflects the difference in coordination, and the lower bond-valence sum for Rb2 indicates underbonding.

Selected bond distances for the two compounds are given in Table 1. The two crystallographically independent MO_6 (M = Ti/Yb) octahedra are regular, with the metal atom slightly off-centre by 0.0380 (8) (M1) and 0.0707 (9) Å (M2) in (I), and 0.050 (2) (M1) and 0.0564 (8) Å (M2) in (II). The centre of the octahedra was calculated as the geometric mean for the six O-atom positions at the vertices.

The distribution between the Ti and Yb atoms is not equal in the two octahedral sites, as two-thirds of the Yb atoms are found in the $M1O_6$ octahedra and one-third are found in the $M2O_6$ octahedra. The $M1O_6$ octahedra define the shared triangular face between the Rb2 (MO_6)₆ octahedra and the Rb1 (MO_6)₄ tetrahedra (Fig. 2). The $M1O_6$ octahedra are thus more influenced by the Rb⁺ cations than the $M2O_6$ octahedra, and this might have an impact on the distribution of Yb.

The geometry of the phosphate groups in (I) and (II) is as expected. The displacement parameters for the O atoms are large and the ellipsoids are mostly oriented with the major axis perpendicular to the P–O bond (Fig. 3). This result is an indication of O-atom disorder or rotation of the phosphate group, which has been interpreted as the initial stages of a phase transition in langbeinites (Lissalde *et al.*, 1979). The displacement parameters of the O atoms are not affected by the change in temperature, while those of the metal atoms decrease by approximately 25%. The temperature decrease from 298 to 150 K does not affect the cell parameters and a



Figure 3

Part of the Rb₂YbTi(PO₄)₃ structure, viewed in the [001] direction. Displacement ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level. [Symmetry codes: (i) 2 - z, $x - \frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{3}{2} - y$; (ii) $\frac{3}{2} - x$, 1 - y, $\frac{1}{2} + x$; (iii) $\frac{3}{2} - z$, 1 - x, $\frac{1}{2} + y$; (iv) $\frac{1}{2} + z$, $\frac{3}{2} - x$, 1 - y; (v) 2 - x, $y - \frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{3}{2} - z$; (vi) 2 - y, $z - \frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{3}{2} - x$; (vii) $\frac{3}{2} - y$, 1 - z, $x - \frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{3}{2} - y$; (x) 1 - z, $x - \frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{3}{2} - y$; (x) 1 - y, $z - \frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{3}{2} - x$.]

slight increase (0.3%) of the cell volume is even observed for (II).

As mentioned above, DSC data indicated a phase transition at 183 K. Data were therefore collected for both compounds at 150 K in order to investigate the formation of a possible low-temperature phase. However, the structure refinements did not reveal a phase transition. In an attempt to obtain an indication of how close these structures are to a phase transition, the instability index GII was calculated [GII is the r.m.s. deviation between the valence sums and oxidation states averaged over all atoms in the structure (Salinas-Sanchez *et al.*, 1992)]. A GII value above 0.05 is an indication of a strained structure (Rao *et al.*, 1998), while structures with values above 0.20 are considered unstable. For both (I) and (II), the calculated GII indices are about 0.10 at 150 K.

Experimental

The crystals of (I) and (II) originated from the same experiment intended to produce modified rubidium titanyl phosphate (RTP; Thomas et al., 1992). They were obtained in a high-temperature solution growth experiment, using a 1:3:2:0.25 molar mixture of TiO₂, RbCO₃, NH₄HPO₄ and Yb₂O₃, with a total of 10 g in the batch. The chemicals were mixed carefully in a 35 ml platinum crucible and heated slowly to 1273 K for about 3 d. The melt was then kept at this temperature for 2 d and thereafter cooled slowly to 1023 K at 1.5 K h^{-1} . The crystals were recovered by dissolving the flux in water. The langbeinite crystals were easily separated from RTP on the basis of the difference in morphology. Fewer than 1% of the langbeinite crystals had a blue colour, indicating that Ti³⁺ should be present in these crystals, in agreement with the colour of $K_2Ti_2(PO_4)_3$ (Lunezheva et al., 1989). The reduction of Ti⁴⁺ to Ti³⁺ during growth means that some oxidation must have occurred, possibly of the platinum crucible; the degree of oxidation appeared to be affected by the flux. Because only a very small amount of (II) was available, it was not possible to verify the amount of Ti³⁺ present. This amount was calculated from the stoichiometric formula obtained during the crystallographic determination. Energy dispersive X-ray analysis (electro-scan S4-8DV equipped with a Link eX1 EDX system) was used in order to verify the atomic content of the crystals used for the structural work. The measurements indicated a Yb/Ti ratio close to 1:1 and a K/P ratio of 2:3 for (I), and a Yb/Ti ratio of about 1:5 for (II).

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

reflections

 $\mu = 19.09 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

Plate, colourless

 $0.07 \times 0.06 \times 0.05 \text{ mm}$

1338 independent reflections

1326 reflections with $F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)$

T = 293 (2) K

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.053$

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 33.1^{\circ}$

 $h = -15 \rightarrow 15$

 $k = -15 \rightarrow 15$

 $l = -15 \rightarrow 15$

 $\theta=2.8{-}33.0^\circ$

Cell parameters from 7163

Compound (I) at 293 K

Crystal data Rb₂YbTi(PO₄)₃ $M_r = 676.79$ Cubic, $P2_13$ a = 10.2083 (2) Å V = 1063.80 (4) Å³ Z = 4 $D_x = 4.226$ Mg m⁻³

Data collection

Siemens SMART CCD diffractometer ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Sheldrick, 2001) $T_{\min} = 0.257, T_{\max} = 0.385$ 19 443 measured reflections Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.024$ $wR(F^2) = 0.056$ S = 1.241338 reflections 60 parameters $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.01P)^2 + 7.1387P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$

Compound (I) 150 K

Crystal data $Rb_2YbTi(PO_4)_3$ $M_r = 676.79$ Cubic, $P2_13$ a = 10.2111 (2) Å V = 1064.68 (4) Å³ Z = 4 $D_x = 4.222 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

Data collection

Siemens SMART CCD diffractometer ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Sheldrick, 2001) $T_{min} = 0.25, T_{max} = 0.385$ 19 497 measured reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.023$ $wR(F^2) = 0.054$ S = 1.281342 reflections 60 parameters $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.01P)^2 + 7.1387P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$

Compound (II) 293 K

Crystal data

Rb₂Yb_{0.32}Ti_{1.68}(PO₄)₃ $M_r = 591.07$ Cubic, $P2_13$ a = 10.2132 (2) Å V = 1065.33 (4) Å³ Z = 4 $D_x = 3.664$ Mg m⁻³ Synchrotron radiation

Data collection

Siemens SMART CCD diffractometer ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Sheldrick, 2001) $T_{min} = 0.75, T_{max} = 0.96$ 2811 measured reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.044$ $wR(F^2) = 0.118$ S = 1.18771 reflections 61 parameters $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0654P)^2 + 5P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $\begin{array}{l} (\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001 \\ \Delta\rho_{\rm max} = 0.63 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3} \\ \Delta\rho_{\rm min} = -1.35 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3} \\ {\rm Absolute \ structure: \ Flack \ (1983),} \\ 570 \ {\rm Friedel \ pairs} \\ {\rm Flack \ parameter} = 0.021 \ (16) \end{array}$

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation Cell parameters from 7527 reflections $\theta = 2.8-32.9^{\circ}$ $\mu = 19.08 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 150 (2) KPlate, colourless $0.08 \times 0.07 \times 0.05 \text{ mm}$

1342 independent reflections 1333 reflections with $F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)$ $R_{int} = 0.044$ $\theta_{max} = 33.1^{\circ}$ $h = -15 \rightarrow 15$ $k = -15 \rightarrow 15$ $l = -15 \rightarrow 15$

 $\begin{array}{l} (\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max}=0.001\\ \Delta\rho_{\rm max}=0.71~{\rm e~\AA}^{-3}\\ \Delta\rho_{\rm min}=-1.22~{\rm e~\AA}^{-3}\\ {\rm Absolute~structure:~Flack~(1983),}\\ 574~{\rm Friedel~pairs}\\ {\rm Flack~parameter}=0.017~(15) \end{array}$

$$\begin{split} \lambda &= 0.872 \text{ Å} \\ \text{Cell parameters from 4133} \\ \text{reflections} \\ \theta &= 3.5 - 34.1^{\circ} \\ \mu &= 8.12 \text{ mm}^{-1} \\ T &= 293 \text{ (2) K} \\ \text{Plate, blue} \\ 0.04 &\times 0.04 &\times 0.01 \text{ mm} \end{split}$$

771 independent reflections 766 reflections with $F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)$ $R_{int} = 0.070$ $\theta_{max} = 32.1^{\circ}$ $h = -11 \rightarrow 12$ $k = -11 \rightarrow 11$ $l = -12 \rightarrow 7$

 $\begin{array}{l} (\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001 \\ \Delta\rho_{max} = 0.98 \ e \ Å^{-3} \\ \Delta\rho_{min} = -1.07 \ e \ Å^{-3} \\ \mbox{Absolute structure: Flack (1983),} \\ 316 \ Friedel \ pairs \\ \ Flack \ parameter = 0.41 \ (3) \end{array}$

 $\lambda = 0.872 \text{ Å}$

reflections

 $\mu=8.10~\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$

T = 150 (2) K

Plate, blue

 $R_{\rm int}=0.085$

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 34.1^{\circ}$

 $h = -12 \rightarrow 13$

 $\begin{array}{l} k = -13 \rightarrow 12 \\ l = -12 \rightarrow 12 \end{array}$

 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.62 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.47 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$

325 Friedel pairs

Flack parameter = 0.42 (2)

Absolute structure: Flack (1983),

 $\theta = 3.5 - 31.1^{\circ}$

Cell parameters from 7416

0.04 \times 0.04 \times 0.01 mm

785 independent reflections 784 reflections with $F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)$

Compound (II) 150 K

Crystal data

Rb₂Yb_{0.32}Ti_{1.68}(PO₄)₃ $M_r = 591.07$ Cubic, P2₁3 a = 10.2228 (5) Å V = 1068.34 (9) Å³ Z = 4 $D_x = 3.675$ Mg m⁻³ Synchrotron radiation

Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD diffractometer ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Sheldrick, 2001) $T_{min} = 0.75, T_{max} = 0.96$ 7663 measured reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.024$ $wR(F^2) = 0.063$ S = 1.21785 reflections 61 parameters $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0264P)^2 + 1.609P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$

Table 1

Selected bond distances (Å) for (I) and (II) at 293 and 150 K.

	(I) 293 K	(I) 150 K	(II) 293 K	(II) 150 K
Yb1/Ti1-O1	2.115 (5)	2.113 (4)	2.107 (8)	2.115 (4)
Yb1/Ti1-O2	2.128 (5)	2.128 (5)	2.149 (8)	2.136 (5)
Yb2/Ti2-O3	2.019 (6)	2.017 (5)	2.054 (9)	2.035 (5)
Yb2/Ti2-O4 ^{viii}	2.041 (5)	2.039 (4)	2.046 (8)	2.039 (5)

Symmetry code as in Fig. 1.

Table 2

Bond-valence sums for (I) and (II).

	(I) 293 K	(I) 150 K	(II) 293 K	(II) 150 K
Rb1	1.06	1.06	1.04	1.06
Rb2	0.82	0.83	0.86	0.85
Yb1	3.93	3.94	3.87	3.95
Ti1 ^{IV}	2.62	2.63	2.58	2.60
Ti1 ^{III}	-	-	2.42	2.43
Yb2	5.00	5.06	4.78	4.93
Ti2 ^{IV}	3.36	3.38	3.18	3.31
Ti1 ^{III}	-	-	2.98	3.08
Р	5.10	5.05	5.22	5.04

The data for (II) were collected at the Max II beamline 711 (Cerenius *et al.*, 2000). For (II), anomalous scattering factors for neutral atoms were taken from Sasaki (1989) and the linear absorption coefficients μ were calculated using mass attenuation coefficients from Sasaki (1990), both at wavelength 0.872 Å. The coordinates of (I) were used as starting points for the refinement of (II). The refinements of both (I) and (II) indicated mixed Yb/Ti populations of the two octahedral sites, as shown by the fact that the M-O bonds are longer than Ti^{iv}-O bonds (2.01 Å; Shannon, 1976). This difference was more pronounced for the M1 site. The existence

of Yb/Ti mixing was also supported by the observation of excesselectron density at both sites when 100% Ti occupancies were used. The displacement parameters of the *M* sites were kept equal to ensure a stable refinement. The rubidium cation occupancies were initially set to refine freely but remained near full occupancy and were fixed at 1.00. For (II), ionic scattering factors for Ti^{4+} , Ti^{3+} and Yb³⁺ were tried but did not improve the model. The Flack (1983) parameter of (II) showed that the other absolute configuration was dominant, relative to (I), and the coordinates of (II) where therefore inverted and the asymmetric unit moved into the unit cell by translation. The twin refinement of (II) was performed using the *WinGX* program suite (Farrugia, 1999).

For compound (I) at 293 K, data collection: SMART (Siemens, 1995); cell refinement: SAINT (Siemens, 1995); data reduction: SAINT and SADABS (Sheldrick, 2001); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997). For compound (I) at 150 K, data collection: SMART (Siemens, 1995); cell refinement: SAINT-Plus (Bruker, 1999); data reduction: SAINT-Plus and SADABS; program(s) used to solve structure: coordinates from (I) at 293 K. For compound (II) at 293 K, data collection: SMART-NT (Bruker, 1998); cell refinement: SAINT-Plus (Bruker, 1999); data reduction: SAINT-*Plus*; program(s) used to solve structure: coordinates from (I). For compound (II) at 150 K, data collection: SMART-NT; cell refinement: SAINT-Plus; data reduction: SAINT-Plus and SADABS; program(s) used to solve structure: coordinates from (II) at 293 K. For both compounds at both temperatures, program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: ORTEP-3 for Windows (Farrugia, 1997) and DIAMOND (Brandenburg, 2000); software used to prepare material for publication: WinGX (Farrugia, 1999).

Financial support from the Swedish Research Council is gratefully acknowledged. STN acknowledges a JSPS postdoctoral fellowship (P03707). The authors also thank Dr Vratislav Langer for providing access to the diffractometer and assistance in data collection, and Yngve Cerenius at Beamline 711 at MAXLAB.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: BC1051). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

References

- Abrahams, S. C. & Bernstein, J. L. (1977). J. Chem. Phys. 67, 2146–2150.
- Alpen, U. von, Bell, M. F. & Wichelhaus, W. (1979). Mater. Res. Bull. 14, 1317-1322
- Brandenburg, K. (2000). DIAMOND. Version 2.1d. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany.
- Brezina, B. & Glogarova, M. (1972). Phys. Status Solidi A, 11, K39-K42.
- Brown, I. D. (1981). Struct. Bonding Cryst. 2, 1-30.
- Brown, I. D. & Altermatt, D. (1985). Acta Cryst. B41, 244-247.
- Bruker (1998). SMART-NT. Version 5.050. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bruker (1999). SAINT-Plus. Version 6.01 (includes XPREP and SADABS). Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Carvajal, J. J., Azner, A., Sole, R., Gavalda, J., Massons, J., Solans, X., Auguillo, M. & Diaz, F. (2003). Chem. Mater. 15, 204–211.
- Cerenius, Y., Stahl, K., Svensson, L. A., Ursby, T., Oskarsson, A., Albertsson, J. & Liljas, A. (2000). J. Synchrotron Rad. 7, 203–208.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1999). J. Appl. Cryst. 32, 837-838.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.
- Guelylah, A., Madariaga, G., Morgenroth, W., Aroyo, M. I., Breczewski, T. & Bocanegra, E. H. (2000). Acta Cryst. B56, 921–935.

inorganic compounds

- Jona, F. & Pepinsky, R. (1956). Phys. Rev. 103, 1126-1130.
- Leclaire, A., Benmoussa, A., Borel, M. M., Grandin, A. & Raveau, B. (1989). J. Solid State Chem. 78, 227–231.
- Lissalde, F., Abrahams, S. C., Bernstein, J. L. & Nassau, K. (1979). J. Appl. Phys. 50, 845–851.
- Lunezheva, E. S., Maksimov, B. A., Mel'nikov, O. K. & Muradyan, L. A. (1989). Kristallografiya, 34, 611-614.
- Masse, R., Durif, A., Guitel, J. C. & Tordjman, I. (1972). Bull. Soc. Fr. Mineral Cristallogr. 95, 47–55.
- Moriyoshi, C., Itoh, K. & Hikita, T. (1996). *Physica B*, **219/220**, 602-604.
- Norberg, S. T. (2002). Acta Cryst. B58, 743-749.
- O'Keeffe, M. & Andersson, S. (1977). Acta Cryst. A33, 914-923.
- Percival, M. J. L., Schmahl, W. W. & Salje, E. (1989). Phys. Chem. Miner. 16, 569–575.
- Rao, G. H., Bämer, K. & Brown, I. D. (1998). J. Phys. Condens. Matter, 10, 757–763.
- Salinas-Sanchez, A., Garcia-Munoz, J. L., Rodriguez-Carvajal, J., Saez-Puche, R. & Martinez, J. L. (1992). J. Solid State Chem. 100, 201–211.

- Sasaki, S. (1989). KEK Report 88-14, pp. 1–136. National Laboratory for High Energy Physics, Tsukuba, Japan.
- Sasaki, S. (1990). KEK Report 90-16, pp. 1–143. National Laboratory for High Energy Physics, Tsukuba, Japan.
- Satyanarayan, M. N., Deepthy, A. N. & Bhat, H. L. (1999). Crit. Rev. Solid State Mater. Sci. 24, 103–189.
- Shannon, R. D. (1976). Acta Cryst. A32, 751-767.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97 and SHELXS97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2001). SADABS. Version 2.03. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Siemens (1995). *SMART* and *SAINT*. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Sljukic, M., Matkovic, B., Prodic, B. & Scavnicar, S. (1967). Croat. Chem. Acta, 39, 145–148.
- Slobodyanik, N. S., Stus, N. V., Nagornyi, P. G. & Kapshuk, A. A. (1991). Russ. J. Inorg. Chem. 36, 1554–1556.
- Thomas, P. A., Mayo, S. C. & Watts, B. E. (1992). Acta Cryst. B48, 401–407. Zemann, A. & Zemann, J. (1957). Acta Cryst. 10, 409–413.

Acta Cryst. (2005). C61, i9-i13